



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,798	01/31/2006	Edward F. Spellman	ES-101	8974
37053	7590	02/20/2008		
D.A. STAUFFER PATENT SERVICES LLC 1006 MONTFORD ROAD CLEVELAND HTS., OH 44121-2016			EXAMINER WUJCIAK, ALFRED J	
			ART UNIT 3632	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 02/20/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/566,798

Applicant(s)

SPELLMAN, EDWARD F.

Examiner

Alfred Joseph Wujciak III

Art Unit

3632

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 December 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4,6-9,12,13,17-20,22-27 and 42-45 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4,6,18-20,22-27 and 42-45 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 7-9,12,13 and 17 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 1/31/06 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-894)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

This is the first Office Action for the serial number 10/566,798, VEHICLE SEAT MOUNT EQUIPMENT RACK, filed on 1/31/06.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of group I in the reply filed on 12/21/07 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 19-20, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 19, line 3, "the shelf" is now positively cited which is indefinite because it is not positively cited in independent claim 1. This is combination/subcombination problem.

Claim 20, line 6, "104" is indefinite and it should be deleted.

Claim 22, line 3, "the shelf" is now positively cited which is indefinite because it is not positively cited in independent claim 1. This is combination/subcombination problem.

Claim 24, line 3, "the shelf" is now being positively cited which is indefinite because it is not positively cited in independent claim 1. This is combination/subcombination problem.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102



The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 18, 22 and 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent # 6,327,993 to Richens Jr.

Richens, Jr. teaches a rack comprising a hook bar (16) having a guide portion and at least one sliding portion (24) telescopically engaged with the guide portion. The hook bar assembly is attached to the shelf (10). Two end hooks on distal longitudinally outward ends of the hook bar assembly is configured for hooking on posts. The rack includes a spring (30) connected for biasing the two end hooks with respect to each other. The shelf comprises a softened (synthetic woven fabric, column 4, line 1). The end hook is biased longitudinally outward/inward and opens longitudinally outward/inward.



Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 6, 24-27 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richens, Jr.

Richens, Jr. teaches the end hook has a forward opening hook and a rearward opening hook having inside wall but fails to teach plurality of inside walls including a longitudinally straight shank wall that extends longitudinally outward and a straight finger wall that extends from the longitudinally outward end of the shank wall and that is angled forward/rearward and longitudinally inward relative to the shank wall. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the end hook with plurality of inside walls to reduce weight of the rack to increase the life of post's strength for suspending the rack thereon.

Regarding to claims 24-27, Richens, Jr. teaches the rack comprising an audio transmitter (small digital music player, column 2, line 65) but fails to teach audio transmitter is being connected to audio cord and audio plug, furthermore Richens, Jr. fails to teach power jack module having power conversion/conditioning circuitry and power cord. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included audio cord, audio plug, power cord and power jack module to Richens, Jr.'s audio transmitter to recharge the battery therein.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richens, Jr. in view of US Patent # 5,582,377 to Quesada.

Richens, Jr. teaches the hook but fails to teach the hook having compressible sleeve. Quesada teaches the hook being supported by compressible sleeve (24, 40). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified

Richen, Jr.'s hook with compressible sleeve as taught by Quesada to provide efficient in detaching the hook from the post.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-9, 12-13, 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 19-20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joey Wujciak whose telephone number is (571) 272-6827 or send e-mail to the examiner at Joey.Wujciak@uspto.gov. The fax machine telephone number for the Technology Center is (571) 273 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary examiner
A. Joseph Wujciak III
Art Unit 3632
2/14/08

/Alfred Joseph Wujciak III/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3632